1 2 3 4 5	Muriel B. Kaplan, Esq. (SBN 124607) Michele R. Stafford, Esq. (SBN 172509) SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATI 120 Howard Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 882-7900 (415) 882-9287–Facsimile mkaplan@sjlawcorp.com mstafford@sjlawcorp.com	ON		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11				
12	GIL CROSTHWAITE, RUSS BURNS, in their respective capacities as Trustees of the	Case No.: C07-6460 WDB		
13	OPERATING ENGNEERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND FOR NORTHERN	COMPLAINT		
	CALIFORNIA; PENSION TRUST FUND			
	FOR OPERATING ENGINEERS; PENSIONED OPERATING ENGINEERS			
15	HEALTH AND WELFARE FUND; OPERATING ENGINEERS AND			
16	PARTICIPATING EMPLOYERS PRE- APPRENTICESHIP, APPRENTICE AND			
17	JOURNEYMEN AFFIRMATIVE ACTION			
18	TRAINING FUND; OPERATING ENGINEERS VACATION AND HOLIDAY			
19	PLAN; OPERATING ENGINEERS CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION TRUST			
20	FUND; OPERATING ENGINEERS MARKET PRESERVATION TRUST FUND;			
21	OPERATING ENGINEERS INDÚSTRY STABILIZATION TRUST FUND; BUSINESS			
	DEVELOPMENT TRUST FUND; AND			
22	HEAVY AND HIGHWAY COMMITTEE,			
23	Plaintiffs,			
24	V.			
25	KICKIN ENTERPRISES, INC., a California Corporation, and STEVE LAWRENCE			
26	SCHALLER, Individually,			
27	Defendants.			
ı	1			

COMPLAINT Case No.: C07-6460 WDB

28

1

2

9 10

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

$\mathbf{r}$		. •	
P	$\mathbf{r}$	11	$e^{s}$
_ ,	**		-

- The Operating Engineers Health and Welfare Trust Fund for Northern California; 1. Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers (which includes the Pension Plan for the Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, and the Operating Engineers Annuity Plan); Pensioned Operating Engineers Health and Welfare Fund; Operating Engineers and Participating Employers Pre-Apprenticeship; Apprentice and Journeyman Affirmative Action Training Fund; and Operating Engineers Vacation and Holiday Plan are employee benefit plans as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") § 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3). They and their fiduciaries are together referred to herein as "ERISA Plaintiffs." Gil Crosthwaite and Russ Burns are Co-Chairmen of the Joint Boards of Trustees of the ERISA Plaintiffs with authority to act on behalf of all Trustees.
- 2. Operating Engineers Local Union No. 3 of the International Union of Operating Engineers, AFL-CIO (Union) is a labor organization as defined in § 2(5) of the National Labor Relations Act ("NLRA"), 29 U.S.C. § 152(5).
- 3. KICKIN ENTERPRISES, INC. and STEVE LAWRENCE SCHALLER, individually, are employers by virtue of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and NLRA § 2(2), 29 U.S.C. § 152(2). They are referred to herein as 'Defendants'.

# Jurisdiction

4. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over the claims asserted by the ERISA Plaintiffs by virtue of ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132, in that the ERISA Plaintiffs seek to enforce the provisions of ERISA and the terms of their plans, seek to enjoin the acts and practices which violate ERISA, seek equitable relief to redress such violations, and seek all other appropriate relief under ERISA.

- 5. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over all the claims by virtue of Labor Management Relations Act ("LMRA") § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, in that the plaintiffs seek to enforce the terms and conditions of a collective bargaining agreement between the employer and a labor organization.
- 6. To the extent jurisdiction over any claim does not exist under ERISA or the LMRA, supplemental jurisdiction exists in this Court over such claims by virtue of 29 U.S.C. § 1367 in that they arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts that form the basis of the federal claims asserted herein, each of which has a substantial ground in federal jurisdiction.

#### Venue

- 7. Venue exists in this Court with respect to the claims under ERISA § 502 because all of the plans of the ERISA Plaintiffs are administered within this district and the breach took place in this district.
- 8. Venue exists in this Court with respect to the claims under LMRA §301(a) because this Court has jurisdiction over the parties, as the Union maintains its principal place of business in this district, its duly authorized officers or agents are engaged in representing employee members in this district, and the claims arise in this district.

### **Intradistrict Assignment**

9. The basis for assignment of this action to this court's Oakland Division is that all of the events and omissions giving rise to plaintiffs' claims occurred in the County of Alameda, where the ERISA Plaintiff funds and union dues, were administered during the period claimed herein, and where defendants therefore failed to fulfill its statutory and contractual obligations to the plaintiffs.

///

# **Bargaining Agreement**

- 10. The Union and defendants entered into a collective bargaining agreement requiring employer contributions to the Plaintiff Funds, and to the Union for union dues and to other Trust Funds more fully described in the Master Agreement incorporated into the Independent Northern California Construction Agreement to which defendant is signatory, and in the Private Work Agreement to which defendant is signatory. Those Agreements are referred to herein as the "Bargaining Agreements," and the ERISA Plaintiffs and Trust Funds are third party beneficiaries of those Bargaining Agreements.
- 11. The Operating Engineers Contract Administration Trust Fund, Operating Engineers Market Preservation Fund, Operating Engineers Industry Stabilization Trust Fund, Business Development Trust Fund, and Heavy and Highway Committee, together referred to herein as "Trust Funds," are funds for which plaintiff Boards of Trustees are the assignees of monies due under the Bargaining Agreement.
- 12. STEVE LAWRENCE SCHALLER is a guarantor for plaintiffs' claim, pursuant to the terms of the Independent Northern California Construction Agreement.
- 13. Under the terms of said Bargaining Agreement and of the governing documents of the ERISA Plaintiffs which documents are incorporated into the Bargaining Agreement and made binding on defendants, defendants are required to submit monthly reports of hours worked by their employees, and to regularly pay to the Plaintiff ERISA Funds, to the Union for union dues, and to the Trust Funds, certain sums of money, the amounts of which are determined by the hours worked by employees of defendants, all as more fully set forth in said Bargaining Agreements. Also under the terms of said Bargaining Agreements and the governing documents of the Plaintiff Funds, defendants agreed to pay liquidated damages for each delinquent payment, which become part of the contributions. Defendants further agreed to pay interest on the combined contributions

COMPLAINT Case No.: C07-6460 WDB

///

and liquidated damages at the rates set by the Bargaining Agreement, from the day immediately following the date that each such payment became due until paid in full, all as more fully set forth in said Bargaining Agreements.

In addition, the Bargaining Agreements incorporate plaintiffs' Trust Agreement, which require that defendants permit authorized representatives of the plaintiffs to review defendants' records to determine their compliance with their payment obligations to plaintiff Trust Funds.

#### **Facts**

- 14. Defendants failed to pay all contributions due as reported by them to the plaintiffs under the Bargaining Agreement for work performed during the period June 2007 through November 2007. Liquidated damages and interest have been incurred and are owing on those contributions incurred for this period.
- 15. Demand was made on defendants on behalf of plaintiffs, for payment of all delinquent contributions, liquidated damages and interest due to the ERISA Plaintiff Funds, the Union, and the Trust Funds. Defendant has failed and refused to make payment of all amounts due claimed herein, as required by the Bargaining Agreement.
- 16. On several occasions, written demands were made on defendants on behalf of plaintiffs, to schedule an audit and provide records for examination pursuant to the terms of the Collective Bargaining Agreements and the governing documents of the ERISA Plaintiffs, for the period June 1, 2007 through date of audit.
- 17. Defendants have refused and continue to refuse to provide records and to permit an authorized representative of the Plaintiffs to examine defendants' records as are necessary to determine whether defendants have made full payment of all sums owed to the plaintiffs for the period June 1, 2007 through date of audit.

COM

- 18. Defendants have a statutory duty to make the required payments timely to the ERISA Plaintiffs under ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and by failing to make such timely payments has violated the law.
- 19. Defendants have a contractual duty under the Bargaining Agreement to timely make the required contributions, liquidated damages and interest to the ERISA Plaintiffs and the Funds, and to timely make the required payment of union dues to the Union. By failing to make such timely payments as required, defendants have breached said duty.
- 20. Defendants' failure and refusal to timely submit the aforesaid payments, and defendants' failure and refusal to provide records and to permit examination of its records, as alleged herein was at all times, and still is, willful. Said refusal is unjustified and done with malicious intent. Defendants' failure to timely make such payments in compliance with the Bargaining Agreement has reduced the corpus of the ERISA Plaintiff funds and operating ability of the Union, thereby impairing their ability to pay or provide benefits to members and beneficiaries, and thereby causing harm to all ERISA Plaintiffs funds and to the Union. Defendants' obligations pursuant to the Bargaining Agreement are continuing obligations; defendants continue to breach said Bargaining Agreement by failing and refusing to timely pay monies due thereunder to the Plaintiff Funds and the Union, and by failing to permit examination of its records as alleged. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that defendants will continue to willfully refuse to make said payments unless ordered by this Court to comply.
- 21. Plaintiffs are without an adequate remedy at law and will suffer continuing and irreparable injury, loss and damage unless defendants are ordered specifically to perform all obligations required on defendants' part to be performed under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1101-1381, the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 141-197, the Bargaining Agreement, and the governing documents of

COMPLAINT
Case No.: C07-6460 WDB

4 5

7

6

9

10

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 **26** 

27

28

the Plaintiffs Funds referred to therein, and are restrained from continuing to refuse to perform as required thereunder.

22. This Court is authorized to issue injunctive relief based on traditional standard. As set forth above, plaintiffs have a strong likelihood of success on the merits, there is the possibility that the Board of Trustees and the participants will suffer irreparable injuries, and the balance of hardships and advancement of public interest favor plaintiffs.

#### Prayer

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

- 1. For a judgment against defendants as follows:
- For unpaid contributions for hours worked as specified above and thereafter a. determined through judgment;
- To the ERISA Plaintiffs, in accordance with ERISA Section (1) 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. Section 1132(g)(2)(A) and the Bargaining Agreement;
  - (2) To the Union in accordance with the Bargaining Agreement.
- b. Liquidated damages on late paid and unpaid contributions in an amount provided for under the Bargaining Agreement and governing documents of the Plaintiff Funds and with respect to the ERISA Plaintiffs, ERISA Section 502(g)(2)(c), 29 U.S.C. Section 1132(g)(2)(c).
- c. Interest on late paid and unpaid contributions, dues and liquidated damages which become a part thereof, at the rates set in accordance with the Bargaining Agreement, the governing documents of the ERISA Plaintiffs and the ERISA Section 502(g)(2)(B), 29 U.S.C. Section 1132(g)(2)(B).
- 2. For an order requiring defendants to provide certain records and to submit to an audit of such records by a date certain for the period June 1, 2007 through date of audit.

**COMPLAINT** 

-8-COMPLAINT Case No.: C07-6460 WDB